

# **Robustifying Long-Memory State-Space Models via Hankel Operator Theory**

Annan Yu 1 , Michael W. Mahoney

 $2$ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory  $3$ 

2, 3, 4 , N. Benjamin Erichson 2, 3

 $4$ University of California, Berkeley

International Computer Science Institute

### <sup>1</sup>Cornell University

State-space models (SSMs) leverage linear, time-invariant (LTI) systems,  $\mathbf{x}'(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(t),$ 

A canonical SSM is highly sensitive to initialization and training hyperparameters. Variations in how the LTI systems are initialized and in the learning rate used to train the system  $\Gamma = (A, B, C)$  can lead to significantly distinct behaviors on the same task.

### **State-Space Models**

$$
\mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}(t) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(t),
$$

$$
\mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(t),
$$

to model long sequential data. In a canonical SSM (e.g. S4D),  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ ,  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times 1}$ ,  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times n}$ , and  $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{C}$  are the trainable parameters.



In particular, when LTI systems are initialized by  $\texttt{init}_1$ ,  $\texttt{init}_2$ , and  $\texttt{init}_3$ , assigning  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ a small learning rate impairs, levels, and improves the performance, respectively.

### **Initialization and Training Issues**

From a random matrix theory perspective, one can show that high-degree LTI systems are rare in the parameter space of  $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})$ . Space Parameterized by (A, B, C) The  $\epsilon$ -rank of a "random" LTI system  $\Gamma =$  $(A, B, C)$ , i.e., the number of Hankel singular | values  $\sigma_j$  with  $\sigma_j$  $>\epsilon,$  $\sigma_1$ is roughly  $\mathcal{O}(n^{1/2 + \mathsf{a} \mathsf{\ bit}})$  with high probability.

$$
\frac{\sigma_j}{\sigma_1} > \epsilon,
$$



Hence, when training an LTI system parameterized by  $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})$ , one is at the risk of losing slow-decaying Hankel singular values.

> $+$  4 $n\Delta$ <sub>A</sub> max  $\displaystyle j$  $|\mathsf{Re}(a_j)|^2$ .

 $10^{-2}$  $10^{0}$  $10^2$ 10<sup>4</sup> Most LTI systems with slow $a_j$  and  $|b_jc_j|$ decaying Hankel singular values have  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  near the imaginary axis; hence, a highdegree system is numerically unstable during training. On the left, one can find the effect of the perturbations on the Hankel singular values of a high-degree system  $(i$ nit $_1)$ and the distribution of  $a_j$ .

### **Towards Better Understanding the Issues: Hankel Singular Values**

As any matrix has its singular values, any LTI system has its Hankel singular values.



The Hankel singular values tell us "how well we can compress a high-degree LTI system into a low-degree one."

## **Identify Successful SSMs via Hankel Singular Values**

Every (discrete) LTI system  $\overline{\Gamma}$  =  $(\overline{\mathbf{A}}, \overline{\mathbf{B}}, \overline{\mathbf{C}})$  is associated with a doubly infinite Hankel matrix

 $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_n \ge 0$ Hankel singular values of  $\varGamma$  $\sim$  Hankel Norm



Instead of using  $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})$  to parameterize an LTI system, we propose to use  $\textbf{h} := \left[h_1 \cdots h_n\right]^\top$ . The only change we introduced is a different way to represent LTI systems by trainable parameters. Importantly, the singular values of the Hankel matrix  $\overline{H}$  are exactly the Hankel singular values of the LTI system. Motivated by the **H**ankel **ope**rator theory, our model is called HOPE.



Suppose we perturb h to  $\hat{h}$ . Let H be the Hankel matrix defined by h and  $\hat{H}$  defined by h. Moreover, let  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma$  be the corresponding LTI systems. Then, we have  $\|\mathbf{\Gamma} - \tilde{\mathbf{\Gamma}}\|_{H} = \|\mathbf{H} - \tilde{\mathbf{H}}\|_{2} \leq$ √  $\overline{n}\|\mathbf{h} - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}\|_2.$ 

Just like random matrices, a random Hankel matrix has a high numerical rank with high probability. Hence, one is not at risk of losing slow-decaying Hankel singular values. Space Parameterized by h

Assume  $h_1, \ldots, h_n$  are i.i.d. random Gaussian  $|$ | variables. The  $\epsilon$ -rank of an  $n \times n$  random Hankel | matrix is almost surely  $\Theta(n)$  as  $n \to \infty$ .



### **Weakness I: High-Degree LTI Systems are Scarce**

From a continuous-time perspective, the memory of an LTI system parameterized by  $(A, B, C)$  often has fast (exponentially) decaying memory. The memory of a system parameterized by h has no decay until  $t = n$ , after which the system has no memory. Yet, since continuous-time LTI systems in an SSM are discretized with some tunable sampling period  $\Delta t > 0$ , one can set  $\Delta t$  small to fit the entire sequence into the "memory window"  $t \in [0, n]$ , even when the sequence length is much larger than  $n$ .



### **Weakness II: High-Degree LTI Systems are Numerically Unstable**

Suppose we perturb  $A = diag(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  by a small amount  $\Delta_A > 0$  and B by  $\Delta_B > 0$  to get a perturbed system Γ. The perturbation of the system is 1  $|b_jc_j|$ 

$$
\|\mathbf{\Gamma} - \tilde{\mathbf{\Gamma}}\|_{H} \le n\Delta_{B} \max_{j} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{Re}(a_{j})|}
$$

Moreover, this bound is tight up to a factor of  $n$ .



### **Fix the Weaknesses by Parameterizing with Hankel Operators**



$$
\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{ij} = \overline{\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}}^{i+j-2} \overline{\mathbf{B}}.
$$

### **Benefit I: High-Rank Hankel Operators are Abundant**

### **Benefit II: High-Rank Hankel Operators are Numerically Stable**

### **Benefit III: Hankel Operators Endow SSMs Long-Term Memory**