

Robustifying Long-Memory State-Space Models via Hankel Operator Theory

¹Cornell University

State-Space Models

State-space models (SSMs) leverage linear, time-invariant (LTI) systems, $\mathbf{x}'(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(t).$

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}(t),$$

to model long sequential data. In a canonical SSM (e.g. S4D), $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times 1}$, $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times n}$, and $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{C}$ are the trainable parameters.



Initialization and Training Issues

A canonical SSM is highly sensitive to initialization and training hyperparameters. Variations in how the LTI systems are initialized and in the learning rate used to train the system $\Gamma = (A, B, C)$ can lead to significantly distinct behaviors on the same task.



In particular, when LTI systems are initialized by $init_1$, $init_2$, and $init_3$, assigning Γ a small learning rate impairs, levels, and improves the performance, respectively.

Towards Better Understanding the Issues: Hankel Singular Values

As any matrix has its singular values, any LTI system has its Hankel singular values.



The Hankel singular values tell us "how well we can compress a high-degree LTI system into a low-degree one."

 2 Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory 3 International Computer Science Institute

Identify Successful SSMs via Hankel Singular Values

One can use Hankel singular values of LTI systems in an SSM to explain its success or failure. If the Hankel singular values decay fast, then an LTI system is close to a low-degree one, meaning that it has limited expressiveness.



Weakness I: High-Degree LTI Systems are Scarce

From a random matrix theory perspective, one can show that high-degree LTI systems are rare in the parameter space of (A, B, C). Space Parameterized by (A, B, C)The ϵ -rank of a "random" LTI system Γ = $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})$, i.e., the number of Hankel singular values σ_i with is roughly $\mathcal{O}(n^{1/2+a \text{ bit}})$ with high probability.

Hence, when training an LTI system parameterized by (A, B, C), one is at the risk of losing slow-decaying Hankel singular values.

Weakness II: High-Degree LTI Systems are Numerically Unstable

Suppose we perturb $A = diag(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ by a small amount $\Delta_A > 0$ and B by $\Delta_B > 0$ to get a perturbed system Γ . The perturbation of the system is

$$\Gamma - \widetilde{\Gamma} \|_H \le n \Delta_B \max_i \frac{1}{|\mathbf{Re}|_i}$$

Moreover, this bound is tight up to a factor of n.



Annan Yu¹, Michael W. Mahoney^{2, 3, 4}, N. Benjamin Erichson^{2, 3}

⁴University of California, Berkeley

 $(\mathbf{a}_i) + 4n\Delta_A \max_j \frac{|\mathbf{v}_j \mathbf{v}_j|}{|\mathbf{Re}(a_j)|^2}.$

 a_{j} and $|b_{\mathrm{j}}c_{\mathrm{j}}|$

10⁴ Most LTI systems with slowdecaying Hankel singular values have a_1, \ldots, a_n near the imaginary axis; hence, a highdegree system is numerically unstable during training. On the left, one can find the effect of the perturbations on the Hankel singular values of a high-degree system (init₁) and the distribution of a_i .

Fix the Weaknesses by Parameterizing with Hankel Operators



Benefit I: High-Rank Hankel Operators are Abundant

Just like random matrices, a random Hankel matrix has a high numerical rank with high probability. Hence, one is not at risk of losing slow-decaying Hankel singular values. Space Parameterized by h

Assume h_1, \ldots, h_n are i.i.d. random Gaussian variables. The ϵ -rank of an $n \times n$ random Hankel matrix is almost surely $\Theta(n)$ as $n \to \infty$.

Benefit II: High-Rank Hankel Operators are Numerically Stable

Benefit III: Hankel Operators Endow SSMs Long-Term Memory

From a continuous-time perspective, the memory of an LTI system parameterized by (A, B, C) often has fast (exponentially) decaying memory. The memory of a system parameterized by h has no decay until t = n, after which the system has no memory. Yet, since continuous-time LTI systems in an SSM are discretized with some tunable sampling period $\Delta t > 0$, one can set Δt small to fit the entire sequence into the "memory window" $t \in [0, n]$, even when the sequence length is much larger than n.



Every (discrete) LTI system $\overline{\Gamma}$ = $(\overline{\mathbf{A}}, \overline{\mathbf{B}}, \overline{\mathbf{C}})$ is associated with a doubly infinite Hankel matrix

$$\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{ij} = \overline{\mathbf{CA}}^{i+j-2}\overline{\mathbf{B}}.$$

Instead of using $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})$ to parameterize an LTI system, we propose to use $\mathbf{h} := [h_1 \cdots h_n]^\top$. The only change we introduced is a different way to represent LTI systems by trainable parameters. Importantly, the singular values of the Hankel matrix $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ are exactly the Hankel singular values of the LTI system. Motivated by the Hankel operator theory, our model is called HOPE.



Suppose we perturb h to \tilde{h} . Let H be the Hankel matrix defined by h and \tilde{H} defined by \hat{h} . Moreover, let Γ and $\hat{\Gamma}$ be the corresponding LTI systems. Then, we have $\|\mathbf{\Gamma} - \widetilde{\mathbf{\Gamma}}\|_{H} = \|\mathbf{H} - \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}\|_{2} \le \sqrt{n} \|\mathbf{h} - \widetilde{\mathbf{h}}\|_{2}.$